

ON THE INTERNAL APPROACH TO DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

1. THE INVOLUTIVENESS AND STANDARD BASIS

VERONIKA CHRASTINOVÁ AND VÁCLAV TRYHUK

ABSTRACT. The article treats the geometrical theory of partial differential equations in the absolute sense, i.e., without any additional structures and especially without any preferred choice of independent and dependent variables. The equations are subject to arbitrary transformations of variables in the widest possible sense. In this preparatory Part 1, the involutivity and the related standard bases are investigated as a technical tool within the framework of commutative algebra. The particular case of ordinary differential equations is briefly mentioned in order to demonstrate the strength of this approach in the study of the structure, symmetries and constrained variational integrals under the simplifying condition of one independent variable. In full generality, these topics will be investigated in subsequent Parts of this article.

1. PREFACE

The *internal* (equivalently: *absolute*) *theory* of differential equations indifferent to the actual choice of dependent and independent variables in the widest possible sense was latently initiated in Lie's approach to the first-order partial differential equations and contact transformations. However, it was implicitly proclaimed in full generality only by E. Cartan in the pseudogroup theory [1] and then explicitly in his later article devoted to the Monge problem [2]. Alas, this idea was found difficult and the lack of further results with convincing outcomes did not sufficiently stimulate the following developments for a long time. The subsequent investigations by Janet, Ritt and Kolchin, Goldschmidt and Steinberg, Manin, Kuperschmidt, Lychagin and Vinogradov, Pommaret, Olver, Gardner, Stormark, Kuranishi, Kamran, Anderson and Fels (to name just a few) were governed by other conception: by the study of special classes of differential equations firmly localized in finite-order fibered jet spaces (the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58A17, 58E99, 13E05.

Key words and phrases. higher-order symmetries, involutivity, standard basis.

This paper was elaborated with the financial support of the European Union's "Operational Programme Research and Development for Innovations", No. CZ.1.05/2.1.00/03.0097, as an activity of the regional Centre AdMaS "Advanced Materials, Structures and Technologies".

external theory) together with rather sophisticated tools of differential algebra and rigid order-preserving G -structures. Some elements of the absolute theory nevertheless occur in the last part of monograph [3], the free choice of dependent variables appears under the name of *differential substitutions* in certain studies on integrable equations [4] and the *generalized* (or: *higher-order*, *Lie-Bäcklund*) *infinitesimal symmetries* cannot be understood without the use of some modest internal concepts [5].

For better clarity, let us recall the original E. Cartan's idea. Two classical systems S and S' of differential equations are called *absolutely equivalent* if there exist prolongations Σ of S and Σ' of S' defined on certain spaces M, M' of equal finite dimensions such that appropriate invertible mapping $M \rightarrow M'$ identifies Σ with Σ' . It should be noted that E. Cartan's prolongation is a very broad concept defined as follows. System Σ on a space M is a prolongation of a system S defined on certain space N if the variables of M involve variables of N (i.e., N is a factorspace of M) which moreover provides natural bijection between solutions of Σ and S (by using the projection of M onto N). It follows that there are *many* prolongations Σ of S in this sense. For instance, the system

$$(\Delta u =) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = v, \quad u \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} = w$$

in the space M of variables x, y, u, v, w is a prolongation of the first equation $\Delta u = 0$ in the space N of variables x, y, u .

We undertake this idea with the following adjustment. Let Ω and Ω' be infinite (better: the largest possible) prolongations of S and S' defined on spaces $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{M}'$, respectively. Since Ω and Ω' may be considered as a prolongation of Σ and Σ' as well, we conclude that S and S' are absolutely equivalent in the above E. Cartan's sense if and only if appropriate invertible mapping $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}'$ identifies Ω with Ω' . So the use of *uncertain* prolongations Σ, Σ' is deleted but the main advantage of the modified approach lies in the fact that the infinite prolongations Ω, Ω' can be characterized without any use of coordinates [6]. As a result, the "absolute nature" of this approach is automatically ensured. We speak of *diffieties* Ω . It should be noted that a given diffiety Ω may be regarded as the infinite prolongation of *many* rather dissimilar systems S of differential equations according to the additional choice of dependent and independent variables which is regarded as a mere technical tool.

The particular case of *ordinary* differential equations was already treated in previous article [7] and the main achievements are briefly recalled in Section 3 below for the convenience of the reader. The achievements rest on the method of *standard bases* analogous to the common contact forms of the jet theory. Roughly saying, quite arbitrary *system of ordinary differential equations* is "identified" with jet theory of *all curves*, i.e., with the trivial (empty) system by using just a standard basis. In the general case of *partial* differential equations,

this is more difficult task and we need involutiveness appropriately expressed in terms of commutative algebra as a preparatory tool. This is the central topic of this Part 1 and we believe that the subsequent Parts will be more interesting.

In this article, we do not need any advanced technical tools. Only the most fundamental properties of differential forms and vector fields are enough. Certain novelty lies in the use of the infinite-dimensional underlying spaces $\mathbf{M}$ of diffieties Ω , however, all functions to appear are of the classical nature. They depend only on a finite number of coordinates so that the usual rules of calculations are preserved. In accordance with the common practice, our reasonings are carried out in the local C^∞ -smooth category. For instance, our notational convention for a map $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ allow the domain of definition to be a proper open subset of $\mathbf{M}$.

2. FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPTS

Let $\mathbf{M}$ be an infinite-dimensional smooth manifold *modelled on* $\mathbb{R}^\infty$. In more detail, the space $\mathbf{M}$ is equipped with (local) coordinates $h^i : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) together with the structural ring $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{M})$ (the abbreviation occasionally omitting the letter $\mathbf{M}$) of real-valued smooth functions $f : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $f = f(h^1, \dots, h^{m(f)})$ in terms of coordinates. We consider mappings $\mathbf{m} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ given by certain formulae

$$\mathbf{m}^* h^i = H^i \quad (H^i = H^i(h^1, \dots, h^{m(i)}) \in \mathcal{F}; i = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Analogous invertible formulae describe the admissible change of coordinates, see also [6, 7].

Let $\Phi = \Phi(\mathbf{M})$ be the $\mathcal{F}$ -module of differential 1-forms

$$\varphi = \sum f^i dh^i \quad (\text{finite sum; } f^i, g^i \in \mathcal{F}).$$

The familiar rules of exterior differential analysis can be applied without change. In particular, the form $\mathbf{m}^* \varphi = \sum \mathbf{m}^* f^i d\mathbf{m}^* g^i \in \Phi$ makes good sense. Let $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{M})$ be the $\mathcal{F}$ -module of vector fields

$$Z = \sum z^i \frac{\partial}{\partial h^i} \quad (\text{infinite sum; } z^i \in \mathcal{F} \text{ are arbitrary})$$

in terms of coordinates. In coordinate-free manner, the vector field Z is interpreted as the $\mathcal{F}$ -linear function on the $\mathcal{F}$ -module Φ determined by the duality pairing

$$dh^i(Z) = Z \lrcorner dh^i = Zh^i = z^i \in \mathcal{F} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots).$$

With this principle in mind, let certain forms $\varphi^1, \varphi^2, \dots \in \Phi$ provide a *basis of* Φ such that every $\varphi \in \Phi$ admits a unique representation $\varphi = \sum f^i \varphi^i$ (finite sum, $f^i \in \mathcal{F}$). Then the values

$$\varphi^i(Z) = Z \lrcorner \varphi^i = \bar{z}^i \in \mathcal{F} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (2.1)$$

uniquely determine the vector field which we denote by

$$Z = \sum \bar{z}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^i} \quad (\text{infinite sum, } \bar{z}^i \in \mathcal{F}) \quad (2.2)$$

(abbreviations like $\partial/\partial f = \partial/\partial df$ for the notation will appear). In particular, the vector fields $\partial/\partial \varphi^1, \partial/\partial \varphi^2, \dots \in \mathcal{T}$ provide the *weak basis* of module $\mathcal{T}$ dual to the original basis $\varphi^1, \varphi^2, \dots$ of Φ . We recall the *Lie derivative* $\mathcal{L}_Z = Z]d+dZ]$ acting on differential forms and the *Lie bracket* $\mathcal{L}_X Y = [X, Y] = XY - YX$ ($X, Y \in \mathcal{T}$) without comment.

We shall deal with various $\mathcal{F}$ -submodules $\Omega \subset \Phi$ of differential forms together with their *orthogonal submodules* $\Omega^\perp \subset \mathcal{T}$. This module $\Omega^\perp$ orthogonal to Ω includes vector fields $Z \in \mathcal{T}$ satisfying $\omega(Z) = 0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. The (local) existence of bases in various submodules $\Omega \subset \Phi$ to appear is tacitly postulated.

Definition 2.1. A finite-codimensional submodule $\Omega \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M})$ is called a *diffiety* on $\mathbf{M}$ if there exists filtration $\Omega_* : \Omega_0 \subset \Omega_1 \subset \dots \subset \Omega = \cup \Omega_l$ by finite-dimensional submodules $\Omega_l \subset \Omega$ ($l = 0, 1, \dots$) such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} \Omega_l \subset \Omega_{l+1} \quad (\text{all } l), \quad \Omega_l + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} \Omega_l = \Omega_{l+1} \quad (l \text{ large enough}), \quad (2.3)$$

the so-called *good filtration*. We systematically denote $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\Omega) = \Omega^\perp$ if Ω is a diffiety from now on. For every submodule $\Theta \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M})$, the submodule $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} \Theta \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M})$ is generated by all differential forms $\mathcal{L}_Z \vartheta$ ($Z \in \mathcal{H}, \vartheta \in \Theta$).

Remark 1. In succinct terms, diffiety Ω is such a *finite-codimensional* submodule $\Omega \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M})$ that we have

$$\Omega = \Gamma + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} \Gamma + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \Gamma + \dots \quad (2.4)$$

for an appropriate *finite-dimensional* submodule $\Gamma \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M})$ satisfying $\mathcal{H} \subset \Gamma^\perp$; choose $\Gamma = \Omega_l$ with l large enough. We shall later see that the codimensionality assumption can be in a certain sense omitted. There are many filtrations Ω_* of diffiety Ω with properties (2.3). In particular, we notice the *c-lift* (fixed $c = 0, 1, \dots$) denoted Ω_{*+c} where the lower terms $\Omega_0, \dots, \Omega_{c-1}$ of the original filtration Ω_* are neglected. We shall however need quite opposite arrangements of a given good filtration Ω_* later on.

Definition 2.2. Let $\Omega \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M})$ be a diffiety of codimension $n = n(\Omega) \geq 1$. Functions $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{M})$ are called *independent variables* for Ω if the differentials $dx_1, \dots, dx_n$ are linearly independent modulo Ω . Alternatively saying, there exists unique representation

$$\varphi = \sum f_i dx_i + \omega \quad (f_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{M}), \omega \in \Omega)$$

for every $\varphi \in \Phi(\mathbf{M})$. Vector fields $D_1, \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{M})$ uniquely defined by

$$\varphi(D_i) = f_i, \omega(D_i) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

are called *formal* (or: *total*) *derivatives* to the diffiety Ω . They provide a useful but not always the best possible basis of module $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$.

At this place, we can clarify the interrelations between the classical differential equations and diffieties. In more detail they are as follows.

In one direction, a given system of differential equations may be represented as a Pfaffian system $\omega = 0$ and the module Ω generated by all such 1-forms ω is just the diffiety Ω . More precisely, we must deal with the infinite prolongation of the Pfaffian system. Particular examples to follow later on will be easy in this respect and do not need any comments here. We also refer to quite instructive Section 3 below.

In the reverse direction, let us consider some diffiety $\Omega \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M})$. Due to the existence of filtration Ω_* with properties (2.3), there exist forms $\gamma^j = \sum a_k^j dh^k \in \Omega$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$) such that the forms

$$\gamma^j, \gamma_i^j = \mathcal{L}_{D_i} \gamma^j, \gamma_{ii'}^j = \mathcal{L}_{D_i} \mathcal{L}_{D_{i'}} \gamma^j, \dots \quad (i, i', \dots = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m)$$

generate the module Ω , see Remark 1. The corresponding Pfaffian system $\gamma^j = \gamma_i^j = \gamma_{ii'}^j = \dots = 0$ is obviously equivalent to the differential equations

$$\sum a_k^j \frac{\partial h^k}{\partial x_i} = 0, \frac{d}{dx_{i'}} \sum a_k^j \frac{\partial h^k}{\partial x_i} = 0, \frac{d^2}{dx_{i'} dx_{i''}} \sum a_k^j \frac{\partial h^k}{\partial x_i} = 0, \dots$$

for a finite number of unknown functions $h^k = h^k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ occurring in the forms $\gamma^1, \dots, \gamma^m$. So we have the infinite prolongation in the classical sense.

Definition 2.3. Let $\Omega \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M})$ be a diffiety. Invertible mapping $\mathbf{m} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is called *automorphism* (or: *symmetry*) of Ω if $\mathbf{m}^* \Omega \subset \Omega$. Vector field $Z \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{M})$ is called a *variation* of Ω if $\mathcal{L}_Z \Omega \subset \Omega$. If Z moreover (locally) generates a one-parameter group of transformations $\mathbf{m}(t) : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ ($-\varepsilon < t < \varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0$) then Z is called the *infinitesimal symmetry* of Ω .

We intentionally introduce rather narrow definition at this place in full accordance with the common practice, however, the invertibility of $\mathbf{m}$ is lacking in certain situations to appear later on and then we speak of a *morphism* $\mathbf{m}$. The term of a "variation" is also unorthodox but well-founded by the calculus of variations, see [7, Section 7]. Recall that only very special vector fields $Z \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{M})$ generate a true one-parameter group [6, 7, 8] and it follows that the use of the terms like "Lie-Bäcklund" or "generalized" infinitesimal symmetry is misleading to denote *every* vector field Z satisfying only the weak condition $\mathcal{L}_Z \Omega \subset \Omega$.

Remark 2. Definitions 2.1–2.3 make a good sense even if $\mathbf{M}$ is a finite-dimensional space and then they concern the "completely integrable" Pfaffian system Ω where the Frobenius theorem can be applied and Ω admits a basis consisting of total

differentials. Even the strange subcase $n = n(\Omega) = 0$ hence $\Omega = \Phi(\mathbf{M}), \mathcal{H} = 0$ may be formally useful in certain respect.

We separately mention the particular case $n = n(\Omega) = 1$ of the underdetermined systems of ordinary differential equations where the general theory simplifies and some results [7] can be easily referred to. We believe that then the general case $n > 1$ becomes more reliable for the reader.

3. DEVIATION TO ONE INDEPENDENT VARIABLE

Passing to the particular case $n = n(\Omega) = 1$ of diffieties $\Omega \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M})$, we abbreviate by $x = x_1$ the independent variable and $D = D_1$ the total derivative. Let us recall that $\mathcal{L}_D \Omega \subset \Omega$ and there exist $\gamma^1, \dots, \gamma^m \in \Omega$ such that the family of all forms $\mathcal{L}_D^s \gamma^j$ ($j = 1, \dots, m; s = 0, 1, \dots$) together with differential $dx \notin \Omega$ generate the module $\Phi(\mathbf{M})$ of all differential 1-forms on the underlying space $\mathbf{M}$.

Clearly $\varphi \in \Phi$ is lying in Ω if and only if $\varphi(D) = 0$ therefore

$$df - Df \cdot dx, Df \cdot dg - Dg \cdot df \in \Omega \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{F}).$$

So we have many forms lying in Ω and even the bases of Ω can be easily found in current examples, however, the most interesting result is as follows [6, 7]. There exist forms $\tau^1, \dots, \tau^K, \pi^1, \dots, \pi^\mu \in \Omega$ ($K = K(\Omega), \mu = \mu(\Omega)$) such that

$$\tau^k, \pi_s^j = \mathcal{L}_D^s \pi^j \quad (k = 1, \dots, K; j = 1, \dots, \mu; s = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (3.1)$$

is a basis of Ω , the so-called *standard basis*, where moreover

$$\mathcal{L}_D \tau^k \sim 0, d\tau^k \sim 0 \pmod{\tau^1, \dots, \tau^K}, \quad d\pi_s^j \sim dx \wedge \pi_{s+1}^j \pmod{\Omega \wedge \Omega}. \quad (3.2)$$

The standard bases of any diffiety Ω are not unique and can be determined using the tools of a mere basic linear algebra. They are useful in applications as follows.

First. Let $\mathcal{R}(\Omega) \subset \Omega$ be the submodule generated by all differentials df ($f \in \mathcal{F}$) that are lying in Ω . Then $\tau^1, \dots, \tau^K$ is a basis of $\mathcal{R}(\Omega)$ and there exists alternative basis $dt^1, \dots, dt^K$ consisting of differentials, see (3.2) and apply the Frobenius theorem. We may introduce space $\mathbf{M}^0$ (locally $\mathbf{M}^0 = \mathbb{R}^{K+1}$) with coordinates $x, t^1, \dots, t^K$ and diffiety $\Omega^0 \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M}^0)$ with the basis $dt^1, \dots, dt^K$. The space $\mathbf{M}^0$ is a factorspace of $\mathbf{M}$ and Ω^0 may be regarded as a diffiety "induced" by the primary diffiety Ω . We have the "compositions series" $\Omega^0 \subset \Omega^1 = \Omega$ where $\Omega^0 = 0$ is trivial just in the *controllable case* $K = 0$.

Second. Let $\mathbf{m} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ be a symmetry of Ω . Clearly $\mathbf{m}^* \mathcal{R}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{R}(\Omega)$ and thanks to the *prolongation rule* [7, Lemma 5.1]

$$\mathbf{m}^* x \cdot \mathbf{m}^* \mathcal{L}_D \omega = \mathcal{L}_D \mathbf{m}^* \omega \quad (\omega \in \Omega), \quad (3.3)$$

already the forms $\mathbf{m}^*\pi^1, \dots, \mathbf{m}^*\pi^\mu$ and the factor $\mathbf{m}^*x$ uniquely determine the remaining forms $\mathbf{m}^*\pi_s^j$ of the basis (3.1) hence all forms $\mathbf{m}^*\omega$ ($\omega \in \Omega$). At this algebraical level, the forms $\mathbf{m}^*\pi^k$ and the factor $\mathbf{m}^*x$ can be arbitrarily chosen to a large extent: in order to ensure the invertibility of $\mathbf{m}$, the equality $\mathbf{m}^*\mathcal{R}(\Omega) = \mathcal{R}(\Omega)$ and the conditions $\pi^j \in \mathbf{m}^*\Omega$ ($j = 1, \dots, \mu$) are enough [7, Lemma 5.3].

Third. Let $Z \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{M})$ be a variation of Ω . Clearly $\mathcal{L}_Z\mathcal{R}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{R}(\Omega)$ and thanks to the *prolongation rule* [7, Lemma 5.4]

$$(\mathcal{L}_D\omega)(Z) = D\omega(Z) \quad (\omega \in \Omega), \quad (3.4)$$

we may choose arbitrary values

$$z = Zx, p^j = \pi^j(Z) \in \mathcal{F} \quad (j = 1, \dots, \mu), \quad z^k = z^k(t^1, \dots, t^K) \quad (k = 1, \dots, K)$$

and *all variations*

$$Z = z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum z^k \frac{\partial}{\partial t^k} + \sum D^s p^j \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_s^j} \quad (3.5)$$

are obtained in explicit terms. We recall on this occasion that Z generates a true one-parameter group of symmetries if and only if all forms $\mathcal{L}_Z^s \pi^j$ ($j = 1, \dots, \mu; s = 0, 1, \dots$) are contained in a finite-dimensional module, see [8] and [7, Theorem 5.2]. This achievement provides effective algorithm for the calculation of the higher-order infinitesimal symmetries of a given diffiety.

Fourth. The *Lagrange problem* of the calculus of variations appears if together with a diffiety $\Omega \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M})$ representing the *differential constraints*, also a form $\varphi \in \Phi(\mathbf{M})$ representing *variational integral* $\int \varphi$ is given [6, 7]. In this "absolute" variant of the calculus of variations, appropriate use of the standard basis provides the Euler–Lagrange system and the Poincaré–Cartan form within the framework of the space $\mathbf{M}$, without any use of the common Lagrange multipliers.

All these well-known achievements [7] will be adapted for the general case of partial differential equations in future. We moreover believe that fruitful interrelations to the general theory of Lie–Bäcklund and Darboux transformations, non-local symmetries, Lie–Cartan pseudogroups and the theory of the variational bicomplex should be expected.

Let us conclude with simple examples.

Example 1. (The contact diffiety). We introduce space $\mathbf{M}(m)$ that locally admits the familiar *jet coordinates*

$$x, w_s^j \quad (j = 1, \dots, m; s = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (3.6)$$

and diffiety $\Omega(m) \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M}(m))$ with the basis consisting of classical *contact forms*

$$\omega_s^j = dw_s^j - w_{s+1}^j dx \quad (j = 1, \dots, m; s = 0, \dots). \quad (3.7)$$

This is (locally) the well-known infinite-order jet space of x -parametrized curves in $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, however, coordinates (3.6) are regarded as a mere technical tool here.

Clearly

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum w_{s+1}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial w_s^j} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega(m)), \quad \mathcal{L}_D \omega_s^j = \omega_{s+1}^j \quad (3.8)$$

($j = 1, \dots, m; s = 0, 1, \dots$) so we have the standard basis (3.1) where $K = K(\Omega) = 0$, $\mu = \mu(\Omega) = m$ and $\pi_s^j = \omega_s^j$. Let us note on this occasion that the class of all these diffieties $\Omega(m)$ corresponding to classical "empty systems" of ordinary differential equations was not yet characterized in coordinate-free terms if $m > 1$, this is the ancient and rather difficult *Monge problem* [6].

There is the natural "order preserving" filtration $\Omega(m)_*$ where the forms (3.7) with restriction $s \leq l$ generate the l -th order term $\Omega(m)_l$. Symmetries $\mathbf{m}$ of the contact diffiety $\Omega(m)$ need not in general preserve this natural filtration. Three cases should be distinguished as follows.




Figure 1.

The *left-hand schema* describes the classical order-preserving symmetries. In more generality, if $\mathbf{m}$ is a symmetry such that $\mathbf{m}^* \Omega_L(m) \subset \Omega_L(m)$ (fixed L) then $\mathbf{m}^* \Omega_l(m) = \Omega_l(m)$ for all l and we have either a *point symmetry* (if $m > 1$) or the *Lie's contact transformation symmetry* (if $m = 1$). This is the familiar *Lie-Bäcklund theorem*. We may refer to [9] for a short tricky proof. In actual literature, differential equations are as a rule considered just in the finite-order jet spaces. The remaining higher-order symmetries cause many difficulties since the localization of the dotted lines in Figure 1 is not known in advance. Moreover there are two quite dissimilar possibilities. The *middle schema* describes such symmetries which may be included into a Lie group. They preserve certain finite-dimensional submodules of $\Omega(m)$ and can be determined by the moving frame method. The *right-hand schema* describes the most general symmetries where both the Lie's method of the infinitesimal transformations and the original E. Cartan's general equivalence method fail.

In terms of coordinates (3.6), a symmetry $\mathbf{m} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ of diffiety $\Omega(m)$ is given by certain formulae

$$\mathbf{m}^*x = F, \quad \mathbf{m}^*w_s^j = F_s^j \quad (F_{s+1}^j = \frac{DF_s^j}{DF}; j = 1, \dots, m; s = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (3.9)$$

where $F, F_s^j \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{M}(m))$ and we suppose $DF \neq 0$ (hence $F \neq \text{const.}$). The recurrence is equivalent to the inclusions $\mathbf{m}^*\omega_s^j \in \Omega(m)$. We recall that the invertibility of $\mathbf{m}$ is ensured if $\Omega(m)_0 \subset \mathbf{m}^*\Omega(m)$, see also [7, Theorem 5.1 or Lemma 5.3]. We will not discuss the classical left-hand case here. Instead, we briefly mention the special "wave" method [11] in order to illustrate the middle and the right-hand cases of Figure 1. It is rather interesting that the inverse $\mathbf{m}^{-1}$ will be explicitly found by using the "reverse" wave, however, the original Huygens principle fails.

Example 2. Continuing with the above contact diffiety $\Omega(m)$, let us moreover introduce the "duplicate" $\bar{\Omega}(m) \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M}(m))$. Then the coordinates (3.6) and the contact forms (3.7) are completed with bars:

$$\bar{x}, \bar{w}_s^j, \bar{\omega}_s^j = d\bar{w}_s^j - \bar{w}_{s+1}^j d\bar{x} \quad (j = 1, \dots, m; s = 0, 1, \dots)$$

and we have the total derivative

$$\bar{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} + \sum \bar{w}_{s+1}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}_s^j} \in \mathcal{H}(\bar{\Omega}(m)).$$

With this preparation, let moreover

$$W^j = W^j(x, w_0^1, \dots, w_0^m, \bar{x}, \bar{w}_0^1, \dots, \bar{w}_0^m) \quad (j = 1, \dots, m)$$

be given smooth functions. Then our proposition is as follows.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that the system $W^1 = \dots = W^m = DW^1 = 0$ admits a unique solution*

$$\bar{x} = F, \quad \bar{w}_0^j = F_0^j \quad (F, F_0^j \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{M}(m)); j = 1, \dots, m) \quad (3.10)$$

such that $DF \neq 0$ by virtue of the classical implicit function theorem. Let analogously the system $W^1 = \dots = W^m = \bar{D}W^1 = 0$ admits a unique solution

$$x = \bar{F}, \quad w_0^j = \bar{F}_0^j \quad (\bar{F}, \bar{F}_0^j \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbf{M}}(m)); j = 1, \dots, m) \quad (3.11)$$

such that $\bar{D}\bar{F} \neq 0$. Then, if the remaining functions $F_s^j, \bar{F}_s^j$ ($s > 0$) are defined by the recurrence occurring in (3.9), we obtain certain automorphism (3.9) by using (3.10) and moreover its inverse by using (3.11). That is, formal change of notation $\bar{x} = \mathbf{m}^*x, \bar{w}_s^j = \mathbf{m}^*w_s^j$ (3.10) and (3.11) provide explicit formulae for the symmetry $\mathbf{m}$ and its inverse $\mathbf{m}^{-1}$.

Proof. Let the equations $W^1 = \dots = W^m = DW^1 = 0$ be resolved by (3.10). We may introduce additional functions F_s^j ($s > 0$) by recurrence. Then

$$0 = dW^1 = DW^1 dx + \sum \frac{\partial W^1}{\partial w_0^j} \omega_0^j + \bar{D}W^1 d\bar{x} + \sum \frac{\partial W^1}{\partial \bar{w}_0^j} \bar{\omega}_0^j$$

identically holds true where moreover $DW^1 = 0$. The recurrence implies

$$\bar{\omega}_0^j = dF_0^j - F_1^j dF \cong DF_0^j dx - F_1^j DF dx \sim 0 \pmod{\Omega(m)}$$

and moreover trivially

$$\bar{D}W^1 d\bar{x} = \bar{D}W^1 dF \cong \bar{D}W^1 \cdot DF dx \pmod{\Omega(m)}.$$

Altogether $0 = dW^1 \cong \bar{D}W^1 \cdot DF dx \pmod{\Omega(m)}$ where $DF \neq 0$ and it follows that $\bar{D}W^1 = 0$. We conclude that equations (3.10) imply (3.11). Analogously equations $W^1 = \dots = W^m = \bar{D}W^1 = 0$ imply $DW^1 = 0$ and we are done. $\square$

One can observe that the classical Lie's contact transformations appear if $m = 1$. In more detail, we have only function $W^1 = W(x, w_0^1, \bar{x}, \bar{w}_0^1)$ and three equations

$$W = 0, (DW) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} + w_1^1 \frac{\partial W}{\partial w_0^1} = 0, (\bar{D}W) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{x}} + \bar{w}_1^1 \frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{w}_0^1} = 0$$

determining $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{m}^{-1}$. Then the obvious identity

$$0 = dW = \frac{\partial W}{\partial w_0^1} \omega_0^1 + \frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{w}_0^1} \bar{\omega}_0^1$$

provides link to the primary Lie's approach (equation $dw_0^1 - w_1^1 dx = 0$ is preserved) and moreover clearly

$$\bar{w}_1^1 = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{x}} / \frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{w}_0^1} = F_1^1(x, w_0^1, w_1^1)$$

by virtue of the transformation formulae which means that the space of variables x, w_0^1, w_1^1 is preserved (the left-hand Figure 1).

Assuming $m > 1$, we mention only the particular choice

$$W^1 = x\bar{x} - w_0^1 + \bar{w}_0^1, W^k = \lambda w_0^k - \bar{w}_0^k \quad (k = 2, \dots, m; 0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{R})$$

which provides the transformation formulae

$$\bar{x} = w_1^1, \bar{w}_0^1 = xw_1^1 - w_0^1, \bar{w}_0^k = \lambda w_0^k \quad (k = 2, \dots, m).$$

This looks like the Lie's contact transformation combined with a similarity, however, we have the *order-increasing* transformation not of the classical kind. The space of variables $x, w_0^1, w_1^1, w_0^2, \dots, w_0^m$ is preserved (the middle Figure 1).

Example 3. Still continuing with $\Omega(m)$, let $W = W(x, w_0^1, \dots, w_0^m, \bar{x}, \bar{w}_0^1, \dots, \bar{w}_0^m)$ be given function. Our proposition is as follows.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that the system $W = DW = \dots = D^m W = 0$ admits a unique solution (3.10) such that $DF \neq 0$ and the system $W = \bar{D}W = \dots = \bar{D}^m W = 0$ admits a unique solution (3.11) such that $\bar{D}F \neq 0$. Then the conclusion is the same as above.*

Proof. We mention only the particular case $m = 2$ here. The system $W = DW = 0$ and the identity $dW = 0$ imply $\bar{D}W = 0$ by the same reasons as above (look at the identity $0 = dW$ with $DW = 0$). Then $DW = D^2W = 0$ and $dDW = 0$ imply $\bar{D}DW = D\bar{D}W = 0$. Finally $\bar{D}W = D\bar{D}W = 0$ and $d\bar{D}W = 0$ imply $\bar{D}^2W = 0$. Altogether we see that equations $W = DW = D^2W = 0$ imply the equations $W = \bar{D}W = \bar{D}^2W = 0$. The converse is obvious which concludes the proof. $\square$

The particular choice

$$W = x\bar{x} - w_0^1\bar{w}_0^1 - \dots - w_0^m\bar{w}_0^m \quad (m > 1)$$

provides a very simple order-increasing symmetry $\mathbf{m}$ (where $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}^{-1}$) not written here which does not preserve any finite-dimensional module (the right-hand Figure 1).

In the concluding examples, we turn to nontrivial differential equations together with variations and infinitesimal symmetries.

Example 4. (A resolved problem.) Let us deal with variations and infinitesimal symmetries Z of a differential equation $du/dx = F(dv/dx)$. In the common *external theory*, the equation is identified with the subspace $\mathbf{M} \subset \mathbf{M}(2)$ defined by the conditions

$$w_1^1 = F, w_2^1 = DF = w_2^2 F', w_3^1 = D^2F = w_3^2 F' + (w_2^2)^2 F'', \dots \quad (F = F(w_1^2)).$$

We are however interested in internal theory. Then the reasonings are restricted to the subspace $\mathbf{M}$ and the ambient jet space $\mathbf{M}(2)$ is neglected. In more detail, we introduce coordinates

$$x, w_0^1, w_s^2 \quad (s = 0, 1, \dots)$$

on $\mathbf{M}$ and diffiety $\Omega \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M})$ with the natural basis

$$\omega_0^1 = dw_0^1 - Fdx, \quad \omega_s^2 = dw_s^2 - w_{s+1}^2 dx \quad (F = F(w_1^2)).$$

The total derivative

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + F \frac{\partial}{\partial w_0^1} + \sum w_{s+1}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_s^2} \quad (F = F(w_1^2))$$

is induced on $\mathbf{M}$ by the original operator (3.8).

Dealing with this diffiety Ω , it follows easily that

$$\mathcal{L}_D \omega_0^1 = F' \omega_1^2, \quad \mathcal{L}_D \omega_0^2 = \omega_1^2, \quad \mathcal{L}_D(\omega_0^1 - F' \omega_0^2) = -DF' \omega_0^2.$$

Assuming $DF' \neq 0$ for now, we have the standard basis

$$\pi = \pi_0^1 = \omega_0^1 - F' \omega_0^2, \quad \pi_1 = \mathcal{L}_D \pi = -DF' \omega_0^2, \quad \pi_2 = \mathcal{L}_D^2 \pi = -DF' \omega_1^2 - D^2 F' \omega_0^2, \quad \dots$$

with $K = K(\Omega) = 0$ and $\mu = \mu(\Omega) = 1$. Then the formula (3.5) provides all variations

$$Z = z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum D^s p \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_s} \quad (z = Zx, p = \pi(Z)) \quad (3.12)$$

where $z, p \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{M})$ are arbitrary functions. Clearly

$$Zw_0^2 = \omega_0^2(Z) + w_1^2 dx(Z) = -\frac{1}{DF'} \pi_1(Z) + w_1^2 z = -\frac{1}{DF'} Dp + w_1^2 z,$$

$$Zw_0^1 = \omega_0^1(Z) + F dx(Z) = p - \frac{F'}{DF'} Dp + Fz$$

whence the (rather clumsy and in fact needless) classical formula

$$Z = z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \left(Fz + p - \frac{Dp}{DF'} F' \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_0^1} + \left(w_1^2 z - \frac{Dp}{DF'} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_0^2} + \dots$$

for the variations follows.

The true infinitesimal transformations Z moreover satisfy the identity

$$\mathcal{L}_Z \pi = Z \rfloor d\pi + d\pi = \lambda \pi = \lambda(\omega_0^1 - F' \omega_0^2) \quad (\lambda \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{M}))$$

where $d\pi = dx \wedge \pi_1 - F'' \omega_1^2 \wedge \omega_0^2$. The conditions

$$-zDF' - F'' \omega_1^2(Z) + p_{w_0^2} + p_{w_0^1} F' = 0, \quad F'' \omega_0^2(Z) + p_{w_1^1} = 0, \quad p_{w_s^1} = 0 \quad (s > 1)$$

directly follow. Since $\omega_0^2(Z) - Dp/DF' = -Dp/(F'' \omega_2^2)$, the middle condition reads

$$-\frac{1}{w_2^2} \left(p_x + F p_{w_0^1} + w_1^2 p_{w_0^2} + w_2^2 p_{w_1^2} \right) + p_{w_1^1} = 0$$

whence $p = P(Fx - w_0^1, w_1^2 x - w_0^2)$. The left-hand condition determines the coefficient $z = Zx$ for the resulting infinitesimal transformation Z (not written here). All infinitesimal transformations Z are obtained in explicit terms.

The remaining "singular case" where $DF' = F'' \omega_2^2 = 0$ hence $F = Aw_1^2 + B$ ($A, B \in \mathbb{R}$) is quite simple. Then

$$\omega_0^1 - F' \omega_0^2 = dw_0^1 - (Aw_1^2 + B) dx - A(dw_0^1 - w_1^1 dx) = d(w_0^1 - Aw_0^2)$$

and we have the standard basis

$$dt^1 = d(w_0^1 - Aw_0^2), \quad \omega_s^2 \quad (s \geq 0),$$

hence $K = K(\Omega) = 1, \mu = \mu(\Omega) = 1$. Symmetries $\mathbf{m}$ of diffiety Ω are the Lie's contact transformations (in the space of variables x, w_0^1, w_1^1) depending moreover on parameter t^1 and the change of t^1 .

In this example of diffiety Ω with $\mu(\Omega) = 1$, the symmetry problem is completely resolved, see [7, Remark 5.4].

Example 5. (Unsolved symmetry problem.) Assuming $\mu(\Omega) > 1$, no finite algorithm for determination of *all* symmetries and even of *all* infinitesimal symmetries is occurring in actual literature. Only some particular solutions can be found by available methods.

We conclude with the equation $dw^1/dx = F(x, w^1, \dots, w^m)$ where $m > 2$. Let $\mathbf{M}$ be the space with coordinates x, w_0^1, w_s^k ($k = 2, \dots, m; s = 0, 1, \dots$) and $\Omega \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M})$ diffiety with the basis

$$\omega_0^1 = dw_0^1 - F(x, w_0^1, \dots, w_0^m)dx, \quad \omega_s^k = dw_s^k - w_{s+1}^k dx \quad (k = 2, \dots, m; s = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Then

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + F \frac{\partial}{\partial w_0^1} + \sum w_{s+1}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial w_s^k} \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{L}_D \omega_0^1 = dF - DF dx = \sum F_{w_0^j} \omega_0^j$$

and it follows that two subcases should to be distinguished.

Either $\partial F / \partial w_0^k = 0$ ($k = 2, \dots, m$) identically or $\partial F / \partial w_0^k = 0$ for an appropriate k ($2 \leq k \leq m$). One may observe that $K = K(\Omega) = 1$ and, roughly saying, we have diffiety $\Omega(m-1)$ only completed with a parameter in the first subcase. Let us therefore mention just the second subcase in more detail.

We suppose $\partial F / \partial w_0^m \neq 0$ from now on. Let us introduce the range of indices $1 \leq j \leq m, 2 \leq k \leq m, 2 \leq i \leq m-1$ and the abbreviation $F^j = \partial F / \partial w_0^j$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_D \omega_0^1 = F^1 \omega_0^1 + \sum F^i \omega_0^i + F^m \omega_0^m, \quad \mathcal{L}_D \omega_s^k = \omega_{s+1}^k \quad (s = 0, 1, \dots)$$

which implies the congruences

$$\mathcal{L}_D^2 \omega_0^1 \cong F^m \omega_1^m \pmod{\omega_0^j, \omega_0^i}, \quad \mathcal{L}_D^3 \omega_0^1 \cong F^m \omega_2^m \pmod{\omega_0^j, \omega_0^i, \omega_1^i}, \dots$$

and it follows that the forms

$$\pi_s^1 = \mathcal{L}_D^s \omega_0^1, \quad \pi_s^i = \mathcal{L}_D^s \omega_{i_0}^i = \omega_s^i \quad (i = 2, \dots, m-1; s = 0, 1, \dots)$$

may be taken for a standard basis. We have $K = K(\Omega) = 0, \mu = \mu(\Omega) = m-1$. In terms of the standard basis, all variations Z are given by the series

$$Z = z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum D^s p^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_s^1} + \sum D^s p^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_s^i}$$

where the functions $z, p^1, \dots, p^{m-1} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{M})$ are quite arbitrary. One may also obtain the "classical" coefficients $Z w_0^j$ in terms of functions z and p . They follow from the trivial formulae

$$p^1 = \pi_0^1(Z) = \omega_0^1(Z) = Z w_0^1 - w_1^1 z, \quad p^i = \omega_0^i(Z) = Z w_0^i - w_1^i z$$

together with more involved identity

$$D p^1 = \pi_1^1(Z) = (\mathcal{L}_D \pi_0^1)(Z) = (\mathcal{L}_D \omega_0^1)(Z) = F^1 \omega_0^1(Z) + \sum F^i \omega_0^i(Z) + F^m \omega_0^m(Z)$$

(where $\omega_0^m(Z) = Zw_0^m - w_1^m z$) for the remaining coefficient Zw_0^m .

Particular infinitesimal transformations Z can be obtained if (e.g.) the additional conditions

$$\mathcal{L}_Z \pi_0^1 = \lambda \pi_0^1 + \sum \lambda_i \pi_0^i, \quad \mathcal{L}_Z \pi_0^i = \mu^i \pi_0^i + \sum \mu_{i'}^i \pi_0^{i'} \quad (i, i' = 2, \dots, m-1)$$

for the variations are prescribed. Such conditions can be easily resolved if one inserts

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_Z \pi_0^1 &= Z \rfloor d\pi_0^1 + d\pi_0^1(Z) = Z \rfloor (dx \wedge \sum F^j \omega_0^j) + dp^1, \\ \mathcal{L}_Z \pi_0^i &= Z \rfloor d\pi_0^i + d\pi_0^i(Z) = Z \rfloor (dx \wedge \pi_1^i) + dp^i \end{aligned}$$

which immediately gives the identities

$$\begin{aligned} z \sum F^j \omega_0^j + \sum \frac{\partial p^1}{\partial w_s^j} \omega_s^j &= \lambda \omega_0^1 + \sum \lambda_i \omega_0^i, \\ z \omega_1^i + \sum \frac{\partial p^i}{\partial w_s^j} \omega_s^j &= \mu^i \omega_0^i + \sum \mu_{i'}^i \omega_0^{i'} \end{aligned}$$

in terms of the original basis. This is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{aligned} zF^1 + \frac{\partial p^1}{\partial w_0^1} &= \lambda, \quad zF^i + \frac{\partial p^1}{\partial w_0^i} = \lambda_i, \quad zF^m + \frac{\partial p^1}{\partial w_0^m} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial p^i}{\partial w_0^1} &= \mu^i, \quad \frac{\partial p^i}{\partial w_0^{i'}} = \lambda_{i'}, \quad \frac{\partial p^i}{\partial w_0^m} = 0, \quad z + \frac{\partial p^i}{\partial w_1^i} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$p^1 = p^1(x, w_0^1, \dots, w_0^m), \quad p^i = p^i(x, w_0^1, \dots, w_0^{m-1}, w_1^i).$$

Since $\lambda, \lambda_i, \mu^i, \lambda_{i'}$ are uncertain coefficients, we have only the condition

$$\frac{\partial p^1}{\partial w_0^m} = F^m \frac{\partial p^i}{\partial w_1^i} \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{\partial p^i}{\partial w_1^i} = -z.$$

It follows that $z = z(x, w_0^1, \dots, w_0^{m-1})$ may be arbitrarily chosen and then

$$p^1 = -z \cdot \int F^m dw_0^m + q, \quad p^i = -zw_1^i + q^i$$

where q and q^i are arbitrary functions of variables $x, w_0^1, \dots, w_0^{m-1}$.

We return to the general theory.

4. THE COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA MECHANISMS

Let $\Omega_* : \Omega_0 \subset \Omega_1 \subset \dots \subset \Omega = \cup \Omega_l$ be a given good filtration of a diffiety $\Omega \subset \Phi(\mathbf{M})$. We introduce the graded $\mathcal{F}$ -module

$$\mathcal{M} = \text{Grad } \Omega_* = \mathcal{M}_0 \oplus \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \dots \quad (\mathcal{M}_l = \Omega_l / \Omega_{l-1}; \Omega_{-1} = 0). \quad (4.1)$$

It is naturally equipped with $\mathcal{F}$ -linear mappings $Z : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ ($Z \in \mathcal{H}$) where

$$Z[\omega] = [\mathcal{L}_Z \omega] \in \mathcal{M}_{l+1} \quad ([\omega] \in \mathcal{M}_l; \omega \in \Omega_l; l = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (4.2)$$

and the square brackets denote the factorization (4.1). Even more can be said. The inclusion $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}\Omega \subset \Omega$ and the identity $\Omega(\mathcal{H}) = 0$ imply

$$0 = X(\omega(Y)) = (\mathcal{L}_X\omega)(Y) + \omega([X, Y]) = \omega([X, Y]) \quad (X, Y \in \mathcal{H}; \omega \in \Omega)$$

hence $[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}] \subset \mathcal{H}$. It follows that

$$(XY - YX)[\omega] = [\mathcal{L}_{[X, Y]}\omega] = 0 \in \mathcal{M}_{l+2} \quad (X, Y \in \mathcal{H}; [\omega] \in \mathcal{M}_l)$$

and $\mathcal{M}$ may be therefore regarded as $\mathcal{A}$ -module where

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \cdots \quad (\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}, \dots) \quad (4.3)$$

is the $\mathcal{F}$ -algebra of homogeneous polynomials over the $\mathcal{F}$ -linear space $\mathcal{H}$. In more detail,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_r \cdot \mathcal{M}_l &\in \mathcal{M}_{l+r}, \quad Z_1 \cdots Z_r[\omega] = [\mathcal{L}_{Z_1} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{Z_r}\omega] \\ & \quad (Z_1, \dots, Z_r \in \mathcal{H}; [\omega] \in \mathcal{M}_l). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

At this place, the advanced mechanisms of commutative algebra can be applied and we refer to the excellent survey [12]. However, a kind patience of the reader is assumed for two reasons which are as follows.

Remark 3. We are interested in *homogeneous* commutative algebra: only homogeneous submodules of $\mathcal{M}$ and in particular homogeneous ideals of algebra $\mathcal{A}$ make a good sense in our theory. The common textbooks are invented for quite other aims and the general concepts and main achievements [12] need some slight adaptation here. However, all our reasonings will be of quite simple nature and can be directly verified, see also [6].

Remark 4. We deal with $\mathcal{F}$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ while the common textbooks concern the polynomial algebra over a *field*. Therefore the common results can be rigorously applied only at a fixed point $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{M}$ where the structural ring $\mathcal{F}$ turns into its localization $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{P}} = \mathbb{R}$. However, we postulate the existence of $\mathcal{F}$ -bases and $\mathcal{A}$ -bases in all modules under consideration. (Otherwise no explicit algorithm of calculations can be performed.) It follows that then the "behaviour at $\mathbf{P}$ " can be locally extended or, alternatively saying, the classical commutative algebra can be applied to $\mathcal{F}$ - and $\mathcal{A}$ -modules as well.

The following two results serve for a transparent example.

Theorem 4.1. *Every $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is finitely $\mathcal{A}$ -generated.*

This is the familiar *Hilbert basis theorem*. In our theory, we suppose even the existence of a finite $\mathcal{A}$ -basis of $\mathcal{N}$.

Theorem 4.2. *If $\mathcal{M}$ is regarded as $\mathcal{F}$ -module, then*

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_l = e_{\nu} \binom{l}{\nu} + \cdots + e_0 \binom{l}{0} \quad (l \text{ large enough}) \quad (4.5)$$

is the Hilbert polynomial function of the variable l .

We recall that the use of the combinatorial factors $\binom{l}{k} = l!/(k!(l-k)!)$ ensures the integer coefficients $e_\nu, \dots, e_0$. Assuming $e_\nu \neq 0$, we may even denote

$$\nu = \nu(\Omega) \neq 0, \mu = \mu(\Omega) = e_\nu \quad (4.6)$$

since these values *do not depend* on the choice of the primary filtrations Ω_* , see [6] for easy proof. Clearly $\nu(\Omega) \leq n(\Omega) - 1$, $1 \leq \mu(\Omega)$ and in accordance with the theory of the exterior differential systems [13, 14, 15] we may (a somewhat formally) declare that *the solutions of diffiety Ω depend on* (better: *can be parametrized by*) $\mu(\Omega)$ *arbitrary functions of* $\nu(\Omega) + 1$ *variables*. In the "degenerate" case of a finite-dimensional underlying space $\mathbf{M}$, the Hilbert polynomial vanishes and we put $\nu(\Omega) = -1$ and $\mu(\Omega) = \dim \Phi/\Omega$ (apply the Frobenius theorem).

The following topics are not currently investigated in literature. They were initiated by a brief notice [16] and thoroughly discussed in [6]. We preserve the same notation of $\mathcal{F}$ -modules and $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra as before. More rigorously, the reasonings should be "localized" at a fixed point $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{M}$ and this would provide the $\mathbb{R}$ -linear spaces and polynomials over $\mathbb{R}$ in better accordance with the common algebra.

Let $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ be a given basis of $\mathcal{F}$ -module $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{A}(i) \subset \mathcal{A}$ the ideal generated by $Z_1, \dots, Z_i$ where $0 \leq i \leq n$ is a fixed integer. In particular $\mathcal{A}(0) = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{A}(n) = \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2 \oplus \dots = \mathfrak{m}$$

is the (so-called *improper*) *maximal ideal* of algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Let us introduce the graded factormodules

$$\mathcal{M}(i) = \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{A}(i)\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(i)_0 \oplus \mathcal{M}(i)_1 \oplus \dots \quad (\mathcal{M}(i)_l = \mathcal{M}_l/\mathcal{A}(i)\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}_l).$$

In particular $\mathcal{M}(0) = \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}(0)_l = \mathcal{M}_l$. They are $\mathcal{A}$ -modules as well and we may consider multiplication mappings

$$Z_{i+1} : \mathcal{M}(i)_l \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(i)_{l+1} \quad (i = 0, \dots, n-1; l = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (4.7)$$

which are of the highest importance in many respects.

Definition 4.1. Basis $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ of the module $\mathcal{H}$ is called *regular* if (4.7) are injective mappings for all $l \geq 0$, *quasiregular* if (4.7) are injective for all $l \geq 1$ and *ordinary* (in better accordance with literature [15], or *generic* [6]) if (4.7) are injective mappings for all l large enough.

Our crucial result reads as follows.

Theorem 4.3. *The ordinary basis of the module $\mathcal{H}$ exists.*

In order to prove this "simple" assertion, a slight reformulation is useful. We recall the c -lift Ω_{*+c} ($c = 0, 1, \dots$) of the filtration Ω_* from Remark 1. It is defined by

$$\Omega_{*+c} = \bar{\Omega}_* : \bar{\Omega}_0 = \Omega_c \subset \bar{\Omega}_1 = \Omega_{c+1} \subset \dots \subset \Omega = \cup \bar{\Omega}_l. \quad (4.8)$$

Roughly saying, the lift corresponds to the classical concept of the prolongation of the "initial" Pfaffian system $\omega = 0$ ($\omega \in \Omega_0$), see Appendix. In classical theory, the prolongation procedure is rather involved since it starts with the "initial" submodule $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ and the final result Ω appears after lengthy calculations of "regular" integral elements [15]. In our approach, the prolongation is expressed by simple requirement (2.3) which *does not* exclude the "singular solutions" and "partial prolongations". Therefore the following "prolongation to involutiveness" result with very clear proof proposed in [6, point (κu) on page 136] is worth attention.

Definition 4.2. Filtration Ω_* is called *involutive* if there exists a quasiregular basis and moreover $\Omega_l + \mathcal{L}_H \Omega_l = \Omega_{l+1}$ (equivalently $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{M}_l = \mathcal{M}_{l+1}$) for $l \geq 0$.

We may state the common reformulation of the latter Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.4. Filtration Ω_{*+c} is involutive if c is large enough.

Remark 5. Before passing to the proof, let us recall some concepts from commutative algebra. They concern the graded ideals of polynomial algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and the graded $\mathcal{A}$ -module $\mathcal{M}$. A proper $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{A}$ of algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called an *ideal* $\mathfrak{p}$ of algebra $\mathcal{A}$, so we *exclude* $\mathfrak{p} = \mathcal{A}$ but the zeroth ideal $\mathfrak{p} = 0$ is admitted. An ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ is *prime* if $u \cdot v \in \mathfrak{p}$ ($u, v \in \mathcal{A}$) implies either $u \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $v \in \mathfrak{p}$. For every $\mathcal{A}$ -module $\mathcal{M}$, $\text{Ann } \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is the ideal including all $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $u\mathcal{M} = 0$ and the ideal $\text{Nil } \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}$ includes all $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $u^r \mathcal{M} = 0$ for r large enough. (These ideals can be related to the *Cauchy characteristics*, see [6, VII 6].) For every $\mathcal{M}$, $\text{Ass } \mathcal{M}$ is the set of all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ such that there exists the submodule of $\mathcal{M}$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{p}$. If $\mathcal{M} \neq 0$ is nontrivial, then $\text{Ass } \mathcal{M}$ is a finite and nonempty set (which is stated without proof here). We also recall the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ where trivially $\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{H}$ but otherwise $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a proper $\mathcal{F}$ -linear subspace for any ideal $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$.

After this preparation, we turn to the proof which consists of a few short steps.

Proof. Let us consider the submodule $\mathcal{A}[\omega] \subset \mathcal{M}$ for a certain nonvanishing $[\omega] \in \mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the maximal element in the set of all ideals $\text{Ann } \mathcal{N}$ for all submodules $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{A}[\omega]$. Then ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime and $\mathcal{N}$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is a submodule, it follows that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } \mathcal{M}$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{A}$. Multiplication $u : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is injective if and only if

$$u \notin \cup \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}[\omega] \quad (\text{all } [\omega] \in \mathcal{M}, [\omega] \neq 0).$$

However we have seen that

$$\cup \text{Ann } \mathcal{A}[\omega] \subset \cup \mathfrak{p} \quad (\text{all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } \mathcal{M})$$

hence $u \notin \cup \mathfrak{p}$ ensures the injectivity. If in particular $u = Z \in \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{A}$ then $Z : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is injective if and only if

$$Z \notin \cup \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{H} \quad (\text{all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } \mathcal{M}). \quad (4.9)$$

It follows that such Z exists if and only if $\mathfrak{m} \notin \text{Ass } \mathcal{M}$.

The last condition is satisfied if the module $\mathcal{M}$ is replaced by the submodule $\mathcal{M}_{c+} = \mathcal{M}_c \oplus \mathcal{M}_{c+1} \oplus \cdots \subset \mathcal{M}$. Indeed, $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Ass } \mathcal{M}$ means that there exist submodules of $\mathcal{M}$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{R}$. All such submodules are moreover lying in $\mathcal{M}_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{M}_{c-1}$ if c is large enough since they together generate a finite-dimensional $\mathcal{F}$ -submodule of $\mathcal{M}$.

Summarizing, there exist

$$Z \notin \cup \mathfrak{p} \quad (\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } \mathcal{M}_{c+}, c \text{ large})$$

with the injective mapping $Z : \mathcal{M}_{c+} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{c+}$ hence injective

$$Z : \mathcal{M}_l \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{l+1} \quad (l \text{ large enough}).$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_l = \mathcal{M}(0)_l$, we obtain the mappings (4.7) with $i = 0$ and $Z_1 = Z$.

Remaining conditions (4.7) with $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ can be discussed by using the same arguments successively applied to the factormodules $\mathcal{M}(1), \dots, \mathcal{M}(n-1)$ instead of the $\mathcal{A}$ -module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(0)$. $\square$

Remark 6. It can be proved that the sets $\text{Ass } \mathcal{M}, \text{Ass } \mathcal{M}_{c+}$ of the prime ideals differ each from the other only in the presence of the ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. It follows that the conditions

$$Z_{i+1} \notin \cup \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{H} \quad (\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } \mathcal{M}(i), \mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}, i = 0, \dots, m-1) \quad (4.10)$$

determine all ordinary sequences $Z_1, \dots, Z_n \in \mathcal{H}$. So the terms $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ of the ordinary basis should not belong to a certain finite family of proper linear subspaces of module $\mathcal{H}$.

Our next aim is to modify the lower-order terms Ω_l of a given filtration Ω_* in such a manner that the *ordinary basis* of Theorem 4.3 turns into the *regular basis* for the adapted filtration $\tilde{\Omega}_*$. Alternatively saying, we may take the lift Ω_{*+c} with the *quasiregular basis* and the initial term Ω_c of the lift should be appropriately modified in order to get a *regular basis*. This is possible for the *controllable diffiety* Ω , if certain obstacles $\mathcal{R}^a \subset \Omega$ (submodules; $a = 0, \dots, \nu(\Omega)$) are vanishing.

Remark 7. We leave the pure algebra from now on. The above results expressed in terms of Z -multiplication in $\mathcal{A}$ -modules $\mathcal{M}$ and various injectivity requirements will be reinterpreted by using Lie derivatives $\mathcal{L}_Z$ acting on filtrations Ω_* and various Ker-concepts. Instead of vector fields satisfying conditions

like (4.9) or (4.10), we shall briefly speak of "not too special" vector fields Z . Then the corresponding Ker_Z -modules are of the least possible dimension.

5. STANDARD FILTRATIONS

We are passing to the lengthy reconstruction of the lower-order terms Ω_l of a given good filtration Ω_* of a diffiety Ω . This is equivalent to the reconstruction of the initial terms of any lift Ω_{*+c} . So we may suppose that Ω_* is involutive filtration without any loss of generality. The calculations proper are of independent interests. Intermediate results are stated only at the appropriate places in a convenient time. Recall that our aim is to obtain a regular basis of module $\mathcal{H}$ and this is possible "modulo certain obstacles $\mathcal{R}^a$ " which are however of the highest importance too.

Definition 5.1. For any submodule $\Theta \subset \Phi = \Phi(\mathbf{M})$ and a vector field $X \in \Theta^\perp$, let $\text{Ker}_X \Theta \subset \Theta$ be the submodule of all $\vartheta \in \Theta$ with $\mathcal{L}_X \vartheta \in \Theta$.

Our first task. A given involutory filtration Ω_* will be adapted to ensure the property $\text{Ker}_X \bar{\Omega}_{l+1} = \bar{\Omega}_l$ to the maximal possible extent. (See the Figure 2.




Figure 2

The last term $\mathcal{R}^0 = \text{Ker}_X \bar{\Omega}_0$ will be independent of the choice of the original filtration Ω_* .) Let us turn to more detail.

Taking a not too special vector field $X \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $\text{Ker}_X \Omega_{l+1} = \Omega_l$ ($l \geq 0$). (Use the injectivity $Z_1 : \mathcal{M}_l \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{l+1}$ ($l \geq 1$) with $Z_1 = X$.) This provides the *strongly descending chain* of submodules

$$\cdots \supset \Omega_1 \supset \Omega_0 \supset \text{Ker}_X \Omega_0 \supset \cdots \supset (\text{Ker}_X)^K \Omega_0 \quad (K \geq 1) \quad (5.1)$$

which necessarily terminates with the stationarity $(\text{Ker}_X)^{K+1} \Omega_0 = (\text{Ker}_X)^K \Omega_0$. The change of notation gives the *strongly ascending* filtration

$$\bar{\Omega}_* : \bar{\Omega}_0 \subset \bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \Omega = \cup \bar{\Omega}_l, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Omega}_0 &= (\text{Ker}_X)^{K-1} \Omega_0, \bar{\Omega}_1 = (\text{Ker}_X)^{K-2} \Omega_0, \dots, \bar{\Omega}_{K-2} = \text{Ker}_X \Omega_0, \\ \bar{\Omega}_{K-1} &= \Omega_0, \bar{\Omega}_{K-2} = \Omega_1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

of Ω . It has the obvious properties

$$\text{Ker}_X \bar{\Omega}_{l+1} = \bar{\Omega}_l \quad (l \geq 0), \quad \text{Ker}_X \bar{\Omega}_0 = (\text{Ker}_X)^2 \bar{\Omega}_0 \neq \bar{\Omega}_0 \quad (5.3)$$

and moreover the less obvious properties [6, VII 16] as follows.

Proposition 5.1. *The filtration (5.2) does not depend on the vector field X .*

Proof. Let $Z \in \mathcal{H}$ be another not too special vector field. Clearly

$$\text{Ker}_X \bar{\Omega}_l = \bar{\Omega}_{l-1} = \text{Ker}_Z \bar{\Omega}_l \quad (l \geq K) \quad (5.4)$$

hold true. We apply the descending induction to prove the equalities (5.4) for all l and start with the first step $l = K - 1$. Let us (on the contrary) assume that

$$\text{Ker}_X \bar{\Omega}_{K-1} = \bar{\Omega}_{K-2} \neq \text{Ker}_Z \bar{\Omega}_{K-1}$$

and that (for certainty) the inclusion $\bar{\Omega}_{K-2} \subset \text{Ker}_Z \bar{\Omega}_{K-1}$ is *not true* so that there exists

$$\omega \in \bar{\Omega}_{K-2}, \quad \omega \notin \text{Ker}_Z \bar{\Omega}_{K-1}.$$




Figure 3

Then $\mathcal{L}_Z \omega \in \bar{\Omega}_K$, $\mathcal{L}_Z \omega \notin \bar{\Omega}_{K-1}$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{L}_Z \omega \in \bar{\Omega}_{K+1}, \mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{L}_Z \omega \notin \bar{\Omega}_K.$$

On the other hand $\mathcal{L}_X \omega \in \bar{\Omega}_{K-1}$ hence

$$\mathcal{L}_Z \mathcal{L}_X \omega \in \bar{\Omega}_K.$$

Altogether we conclude that

$$\mathcal{L}_X \mathcal{L}_Z \omega - \mathcal{L}_Z \mathcal{L}_X \omega = \mathcal{L}_{[X,Z]} \omega \notin \bar{\Omega}_K$$

which is a contradiction since (trivially) $\omega \in \bar{\Omega}_{K-1}$ and therefore $\mathcal{L}_{[X,Z]} \omega \in \bar{\Omega}_K$ by applying the induction assumption (5.4). The following steps $l = K - 2, K - 3, \dots$ of the induction are analogous. $\square$

Proposition 5.2. *Filtration (5.2) is good.*

Proof. This assertion is trivial since $\mathcal{L}_Z \bar{\Omega}_l \subset \bar{\Omega}_{l+1}$ hence $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} \bar{\Omega}_l \subset \Omega_{l+1}$ due to Proposition 5.1. $\square$

Proposition 5.3. *The module*

$$\mathcal{R}^0 = \text{Ker}_X \bar{\Omega}_0 = (\text{Ker}_X)^K \Omega_0 = \cap (\text{Ker}_{\mathcal{H}})^k \Omega_0 \quad (5.5)$$

is generated by all differentials $df \in \Phi$ lying moreover in Ω .

Proof. The inclusion $\mathcal{L}_Z \mathcal{R}^0 \subset \mathcal{R}^0$ implies the Frobenius condition $d\mathcal{R}^0 \cong 0 \pmod{\mathcal{R}^0}$ but the proof is not immediate and we refer to [6, p. 177]. Therefore the module $\mathcal{R}_0$ is generated by certain total differentials df ($f \in \mathcal{F}$) where $df \in \mathcal{R}_0 \subset \Omega$. On the other hand every differential $df \in \Omega$ is lying in certain module $\bar{\Omega}_l$ and then (trivially) also in $\text{Ker}_X \bar{\Omega}_l = \bar{\Omega}_{l-1}$ hence in $\mathcal{R}_0$. $\square$

Proposition 5.4. *Module $\mathcal{R}^0 = \mathcal{R}^0(\Omega)$ does not depend on the choice of the filtration Ω_* .*

Proof. This is a trivial consequence of previous Proposition 5.3, however, direct proof may be as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \{\omega, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}\omega, \dots, (\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}})^k \omega\} &\leq \text{const.} \quad \text{if } \omega \in \mathcal{R}^0, \\ \dim \{\omega, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}\omega, \dots, (\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}})^k \omega\} &\geq k+1 \quad \text{if } \omega \in \Omega, \omega \notin \mathcal{R}^0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

see also Figure 2. $\square$

Our second task. Assuming the previous result

$$\text{Ker}_X \Omega_{l+1} = \Omega_l \quad (l \geq 1), \text{Ker}_X \Omega_0 = \mathcal{R}^0, \text{Ker}_X \mathcal{R}^0 = \mathcal{R}^0,$$

the construction will be repeated "modulo X " by employing another not too special vector field $Y \in \mathcal{H}$. In more detail, we introduce the filtration

$$\Omega(X)_* : \Omega(X)_0 \subset \Omega(X)_1 \subset \dots \subset \Omega = \cup \Omega(X)_l \quad (\Omega(X)_l = \sum (\mathcal{L}_X)^k \Omega_l) \quad (5.7)$$

and our aim is to ensure $\text{Ker}_Y \Omega(X)_{l+1} = \Omega(X)_l$ in the maximal possible extent by the adaptation of the lower-order terms of filtration (5.7). Let us turn to more detail.

Taking a not too special vector field $Y \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $\text{Ker}_Y \Omega(X)_{l+1} = \Omega(X)_l$ for l large enough and even for $l \geq 0$ by using a lift. (Let us recall the injectivity $Z_2 : \mathcal{M}(1)_l \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(1)_{l+1}$ ($l \geq 1$) with $Z_2 = Y$.) This provides the *strongly descending* chain

$$\dots \supset \Omega(X)_1 \supset \Omega(X)_0 \supset \text{Ker}_Y \Omega(X)_0 \supset \dots \supset (\text{Ker}_Y)^K \Omega(X)_0 \quad (K \geq 1) \quad (5.8)$$

which *does terminate* with the stationarity $(Ker_Y)^{K+1}\Omega(X)_0 = (Ker_Y)^K\Omega(X)_0$, see Proposition 5.5 below. So we obtain the *strongly ascending* filtration

$$\bar{\Omega}(X)_* : \bar{\Omega}(X)_0 \subset \bar{\Omega}(X)_1 \subset \cdots \subset \Omega = \cup \bar{\Omega}(X)_l,$$

$$\bar{\Omega}(X)_0 = (Ker_Y)^{K-1}\Omega(X)_0, \dots, \bar{\Omega}(X)_{K-2} = Ker_Y\Omega(X)_0, \quad (5.9)$$

$$\bar{\Omega}(X)_{K-1} = \Omega(X)_0, \bar{\Omega}(X)_{K-2} = \Omega(X)_1,$$

of Ω with the infinite-dimensional terms. It has the obvious properties

$$Ker_Y\bar{\Omega}(X)_{l+1} = \bar{\Omega}(X)_l \quad (l \geq 0), \quad (5.10)$$

$$Ker_Y\Omega(X)_0 = (Ker_Y)^2\Omega(X)_0 \neq \bar{\Omega}_0$$

and moreover the less obvious properties as follows.

Proposition 5.5. *The stationarity holds true.*

Proof. The Hilbert polynomials provides the desired result. Denote by

$$\mathcal{M}[k] = \mathcal{M}[k]_0 \oplus \mathcal{M}[k]_1 \oplus \cdots, \quad \mathcal{M}[k]_l = \bar{\Omega}(X)_k \cap \bar{\Omega}_l / \bar{\Omega}(X)_k \cap \bar{\Omega}_{l-1}$$

the graded $\mathcal{F}$ -module equipped moreover with X -multiplication. Then $\dim \mathcal{M}[k]_l = e_0(k)$ is the zeroth-order polynomial in l (a constant depending on k) and obviously $e_0(k+1) > e_0(k)$ at the places of proper inclusions in (5.8). This causes the finite length. $\square$

Proposition 5.6. *Filtration (5.9) does not depend on the vector field Y .*

Proof. The method of Proposition 5.1 applies without much change. Modules $\bar{\Omega}(X)_l$ stand for the previous $\bar{\Omega}_l$ and the new vector field Y undertakes the role of X . $\square$

Proposition 5.7. *Filtration (5.9) is good.*

Proof. Conditions (2.3) with $\Omega(X)_l$ instead of Ω_l are obviously satisfied due to Proposition 5.6. $\square$

Proposition 5.8. *The module*

$$\mathcal{R}^1 = Ker_Y\bar{\Omega}(X)_0 = (Ker_Y)^K\Omega(X)_0 \quad (5.11)$$

does not depend on the choice of the filtration Ω_* .

Proof. The following criteria can be applied:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \{\omega, \mathcal{L}_H\omega, \dots, (\mathcal{L}_H)^k\omega\} &\leq k \cdot \text{const.} + \text{const.} \quad \text{if } \omega \in \mathcal{R}^1, \\ \dim \{\omega, \mathcal{L}_H\omega, \dots, (\mathcal{L}_H)^k\omega\} &\geq \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \quad \text{if } \omega \in \Omega, \omega \notin \mathcal{R}^1. \end{aligned}$$

In the first inequality, $\mathcal{R}^1$ is in fact equipped only with active $\mathcal{L}_X$ -operators. $\square$

Proposition 5.9. *Module $\mathcal{R}^1$ satisfies the Frobenius condition $d\mathcal{R}^1 \cong 0 \pmod{\mathcal{R}^1}$.*

Proof. The method [6, p. 177] can be adapted. We instead refer to the next part of this article, which will be especially devoted to all notable modules $\mathcal{R}^k$ in full generality. $\square$

We will not continue with the *higher-order tasks* since they bring only toil-some formalisms but none of true novelties. The formulation of the most general Propositions is left to the reader. In particular, one may speak of *standard filtrations* (5.2) or (5.9) in full accordance with the theory of ordinary differential equations [7]. Also the *standard bases* can be introduced quite analogously as in [7] but this topic will be discussed together with explicit calculation of symmetries of diffiety Ω .

6. APPENDIX

The involutivity is a terrible topic in strictly rigorous expositions [15, 17]. So we supply our story with a few informal notices on this subject. Let us compare the involutive systems of differential equations (the external theory) with the involutive Pfaffian systems (the internal theory) in the common sense and conclude with the prolongation to diffieties.

Let us begin with the *external theory*.

A system of the first-order differential equations in the first-order jet space

$$x^i, w^j, w_i^j \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m; w_i^j = \frac{dw^j}{dx^i})$$

will be mentioned. We suppose that it looks as follows [18]. A maximal number of equations is resolved with respect to derivatives w_n^j . They are substituted into the remaining equations which are resolved with respect to maximal number of derivatives w_{n-1}^j and so forth up to derivatives w_1^j . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w_n^{j_n} &= f_n^{j_n}(x^1, \dots, x^n, w_1^1, \dots, w_{n-1}^m, \dots, w_n^{k_n}, \dots) \\ &\quad (j_n \in J_n, k_n \in K_n), \\ w_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}} &= f_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}}(x^1, \dots, x^n, w_1^1, \dots, w_{n-2}^m, \dots, w_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}, \dots) \\ &\quad (j_{n-1} \in J_{n-1}, k_{n-1} \in K_{n-1}), \\ &\vdots \\ w_1^{j_1} &= f_1^{j_1}(x^1, \dots, x^n, \dots, w_1^{k_1}, \dots) \\ &\quad (j_1 \in J_1, k_1 \in K_1) \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where $J_i + K_i = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $J_i \cap K_i = \emptyset$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). The prolongation of (6.1) consists of equations

$$\frac{d}{dx^i} (w_k^{j_k} - f_k^{j_k}) = 0 \quad (i, k = 1, \dots, n; j_k \in J_k). \tag{6.2}$$

Even more can be said. If the independent variables are "not too special" then $J_1 \subset \dots \subset J_n$ and therefore $K_1 \supset \dots \supset K_n$ and the system (6.1) is called *involutive* if equations (6.2) with $i \leq k$ are enough in prolongation (6.2). The prolonged system is of the second order, however, it may be interpreted as the first-order system if the first-order derivatives are regarded for new variables. One can then easily find that the involutivity is preserved: if σ^i ($\bar{\sigma}^i$) is the number of elements in K^i (in analogous sets $\bar{K}^i$ after the prolongation) then

$$\bar{\sigma}_1 = \sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_n, \quad \bar{\sigma}_2 = \sigma_2 + \dots + \sigma_n, \quad \bar{\sigma}_n = \sigma_n.$$

This is the Cartan's *involutivity test* [1, 14, 15, 17, 18].

It is not easy to prove that the involutiveness can be attained after a finite number of prolongations and there are lengthy studies devoted to this topic [17]. It should be however noted that the "exceptional points" where the numbers σ^i are not locally constant are omitted, that is, only the "regular solutions" are involved.

Let us turn to the *internal theory*.

System (6.1) is expressed by the Pfaffian equations

$$\omega^j = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m; \omega^j = dw^j - \sum w_i^j dx^i, w_i^j = f_i^j \text{ if } j \in J_i)$$

involving only derivatives $w_i^{k_i}$ ($k_i \in K_i$). The prolongation is again expressed by the Pfaffian equations

$$\omega_i^j = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; j \in K_i; \omega_i^j = dw_i^j - \sum w_{i'}^j dx^{i'})$$

where equations $\omega_i^j = 0$ should imply $d\omega_i^j = \sum dx^i \wedge dw_i^j = 0$ whence $w_{i'}^j = w_{i''}^j$. Assuming this symmetry, variables $w_{i'}^j$ may be determined *successively* in the *involutive case*. (This is the classical definition [1, 14, 15] for the Pfaffian systems.) That is, we may arbitrarily choose

$$w_{11}^{j_1}, w_{21}^{j_2}, w_{31}^{j_3}, \dots, w_{n1}^{j_n} \text{ in total number } \bar{\sigma}^1 = \sigma^1 + \sigma^2 + \sigma^3 + \dots + \sigma^n,$$

$$w_{22}^{j_2}, w_{32}^{j_3}, \dots, w_{n2}^{j_n} \text{ in total number } \bar{\sigma}^2 = \sigma^2 + \sigma^3 + \dots + \sigma^n,$$

and so on to the final $w_{nn}^{j_n}$ in total number $\bar{\sigma}^n = \sigma^n$. This is again the Cartan's test as above.

It seems that nobody understands the original Cartan's proof of the combinatorial nature in [1] that the involutivity can be attained within this internal framework. It should be moreover noted that the "singular prolongations" are not included both in the internal and in the external theories.

We conclude with *diffieties*.

Every diffiety Ω can be obtained from the first term Ω_0 of appropriate filtrations by successive prolongations [6] which are subjected only to the condition (2.3). It follows that Theorem 4.4 can be applied to "singular solutions" and even to all "partial prolongations" of a Pfaffian system as well.

REFERENCES

- [1] CARTAN E.: *Sur la structure des groupes infinis de transformations*. (French) *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (3) **21** (1904), 153-206.
- [2] CARTAN E.: *Sur l'équivalence absolue de certains systèmes d'équations différentielles et sur certaines familles de courbes*. *Bull. Soc. Math.*, t. **42**, p. 12-48) *Oeuvres Complètes* II.2.
- [3] KRASIL'SHCHIK I. S.—LYCHAGIN V. V.—VINOGRADOV A. M.: *Geometry of jet spaces and nonlinear partial differential equations*. Transl. from the Russian. (English) Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, 1. New York etc.: Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York (1986), 441 p.
- [4] KUZNETSOVA M. N.—PEKCAN A.—ZHIBER A. V.: *The Klein-Gordon equation and differential substitutions of the form $v = \varphi(u, u_x, u_y)$* . (English); SIGMA, Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 8, Paper 090, 37 p., electronic only (2012). MSC: 35L70 35A25 35A22
- [5] KAMRAN N.: *Selected Topics in the Geometrical Study of Differential Equations*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, **96**. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS) (ISBN 0-8218-2639-5/pbk). xi, (2002) 115 p.
- [6] CHRASTINA J.: *The formal theory of differential equations*. *Folia Facultatis Scientiarum Naturalium Universitatis Masarykianae Brunensis. Mathematica* 6. Brno: Masaryk University. x, (1998) 296 p.
- [7] TRYHUK V.—CHRASTINOVÁ V.: *Automorphisms of ordinary differential equations*. Abstract and Applied Analysis, Volume 2013, Article ID 482963, 32 pages, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/482963>
- [8] TRYHUK V.—CHRASTINOVÁ V.—DLOUHÝ O.: *The Lie Group in Infinite Dimension*. Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2011, Article ID 919538, 35 pages, 2011, DOI:10.1155/2011/919538.
- [9] TRYHUK V.—CHRASTINOVÁ V.: *On the mapping of jet spaces*. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, **17**, No. 3, 2010, 293-310, DOI No: 10.1142/S140292511000091X.
- [10] TRYHUK V.—CHRASTINOVÁ V.: *Automorphisms of curves*. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, **16**, No. 3, 2009, 259-281.
- [11] CHRASTINOVÁ V.: *Report on the higher-order contact transformations*. 7-th Conference on Mathematics and Physics on Technical Universities, Brno (2011, Sept. 22) 176-188.
- [12] EISENBUD, D: *Commutative algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*. Springer Verlag, 1994.
- [13] CARTAN, E.: *Sur l'intégration des systèmes d'équations aux différentielles totales*. *Ann. d. l'Éc. Norm.* (3) **18**, 1901, 241-311.
- [14] CARTAN, E.: *Exterior differential systems and their geometric applications (Les systèmes différentiels extérieurs et leurs applications géométriques)*. Paris: Hermann & Cie., 214 p. (1945); *Les systèmes différentiels extérieurs et leurs applications géométriques. 2e ed.*. Actualités scientifiques et industrielles, No.994, Paris: Hermann, 210 p. (1971).
- [15] BRYANT, R.—CHERN, S. S.—GOLDSCHMIDT, H.—GRIFFITHS, P. A.: *Exterior differential systems*. *Mat. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.* **18**, Springer - Verlag 1991.
- [16] SERRE J. P.: A letter in *Bulletin AMS* 70, 1964, 42-46.
- [17] MALGRANGE, B.: *Cartan involutiveness = Mumford regularity*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS) (ISBN 0-8218-3233-6/pbk). *Contemp. Math.* 331 (2003), 193-205.

- [18] CARTAN, E: *Sur les équations de la gravitation d'Einstein*. (French), Journ. de Math. (9) **1** (1922), 141-203.

BRNO UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

FACULTY OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

VEVEŘÍ 331/95, 602 00 BRNO

CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail address: chrastinova.v@fce.vutbr.cz, tryhuk.v@fce.vutbr.cz